

Berke | Farah LLP

Attorneys at Law

2101 L Street NW • Suite 1000 • Washington, D.C. 20037

Elliot S. Berke 202.517.0585 (Tel.)
eberke@berkefarah.com

June 15, 2014

Jeff S. Jordan
Assistant General Counsel
Complaints Examination & Legal Administration
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Election Commission
Washington, D.C. 20463

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
2014 JUN 13 PM 1:19
OFFICE OF GENERAL
COUNSEL

Re: MUR 6832

Dear Mr. Jordan:

Please accept the following response filed on behalf of Grant Lally for Congress, Inc. ("Committee") and Christopher Nolan, Treasurer (together with Committee, "Respondents"). Respondents received a Complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") dated May 30, 2014, but received by them on June 9, 2014. The Commission assigned number MUR 68832 to the Complaint, filed against Respondents by Brian Aguilar of Brooklyn, New York.

This response is submitted to your office within 15 days of receipt in accordance with 2 U.S.C. §437g(a), and therefore constitutes a timely response. As discussed herein, the Commission need not give this matter further investigation or action and the Complaint should be immediately dismissed as it pertains to the Respondents.

Alleged Violations

The Complaint alleges 1) that the Committee's website (www.grantlallyforcongress.com) did not have a disclaimer on May 19, 2014; 2) that the Committee owns a second website (<http://isupportlallyforcongress.worldpress.com/2014/03/10/grant-lally-to-challenge-steve-israel/>) that did not have a disclaimer on May 19, 2014; and that 3) the Committee "has been robo-calling voters in the district, in which no disclaimer saying who has paid for the call."

Put simply, 1) the Committee's website (www.grantlallyforcongress.com) did have a disclaimer on May 19, 2014; 2) the Committee does not own the second website referenced in the Complaint; and 3) while the Complaint does not specify a specific robo-call made by the Committee, all communications made by the Committee have had the required disclaimer in accordance with Commission regulations.

Conclusion

The Commission should dismiss the Complaint and find no reason to believe that a violation of the Act or the regulations promulgated there under has occurred by Grant Lally for Congress or Christopher Nolan, Treasurer, in his official capacity. With respect to Mr. Nolan's personal capacity, he did not knowingly or willfully violate the Act or intentionally deprive himself of the operative facts giving rise to the alleged violation.

Should you have any additional questions with regards to this matter, I may be reached at 202.517.0585. Thank you.

Sincerely,



Elliot S. Berke