



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D C 20463

JAN 28 2005

Via Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested

Mr. Greg Bedula
126 Meadowgate Street
Wethersfield, CT 06109

RE: MUR 5453

Dear Mr. Bedula:

On January 24, 2005, the Federal Election Commission found that there is reason to believe you knowingly and willfully violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f, provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). These findings were based upon information ascertained in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities. *See* 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(2). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's findings, is attached for your information.

You may submit any factual or legal materials that you believe are relevant to the Commission's consideration of this matter. Please submit such materials to the General Counsel's Office within 15 days of your receipt of this letter. Where appropriate, statements should be submitted under oath. In the absence of additional information, the Commission may find probable cause to believe that a violation has occurred and proceed with conciliation.

Please note that you have a legal obligation to preserve all documents, records and materials relating to this matter until such time as you are notified that the Commission has closed its file in this matter. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 1519.

In order to expedite the resolution of this matter, the Commission has also decided to offer to enter into negotiations directed towards reaching a conciliation agreement in settlement of this matter prior to a finding of probable cause to believe. Enclosed is a conciliation agreement that the Commission has approved.

If you are interested in expediting the resolution of this matter by pursuing preprobable cause conciliation, and if you agree with the provisions of the enclosed agreement, please sign and return the agreement, along with the civil penalty, to the Commission. In light of the fact that conciliation negotiations, prior to a finding of probable cause to believe, are limited to a maximum of 30 days, you should respond to this notification as soon as possible.

Requests for extensions of time will not be routinely granted. Requests must be made in writing at least five days prior to the due date of the response and specific good cause must be

26044131072

demonstrated. In addition, the Office of the General Counsel ordinarily will not give extensions beyond 20 days.

If you intend to be represented by counsel in this matter, please advise the Commission by completing the enclosed form stating the name, address, and telephone number of such counsel, and authorizing such counsel to receive any notifications and other communications from the Commission.

This matter will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. §§ 437g(a)(4)(B) and 437g(a)(12)(A), unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the investigation to be made public.

For your information, we have enclosed a brief description of the Commission's procedures for handling possible violations of the Act. If you have any questions, please contact Alexandra Doumas, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Very truly yours,



Scott E. Thomas
Chairman

Enclosures

Factual and Legal Analysis
Procedures
Designation of Counsel Form
Conciliation Agreement

26044131073

1 **FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION**
2
3 **FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS**
4

5 RESPONDENT: Greg Bedula

MUR 5453

6
7 **I. GENERATION OF THE MATTER**
8

9 This matter was generated based on information ascertained by the Federal Election
10 Commission ("the Commission") in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory
11 responsibilities. *See* 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(2).¹

12 **II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS**

13 In April of 2000, Greg Bedula was Vice-President Commercial Insurance of Arthur A.
14 Watson & Company, Inc. ("the Company").² An officer of Arthur A. Watson & Company, Inc.
15 approached Mr. Bedula, suggesting that he make a contribution to the Giordano for U.S. Senate
16 Committee ("the Committee"), and further suggesting that Arthur A. Watson & Company, Inc.
17 would reimburse him for that contribution. In April 2000, Mr. Bedula made a contribution on
18 behalf of himself and his wife, totaling \$2,000. Mr. Bedula then was reimbursed by Arthur A.
19 Watson & Company, Inc. for the contributions made by him and his wife, in the total amount of
20 \$2,000. The Company reimbursed Mr. Bedula for the contributions by disguising the
21 reimbursements as commissions or salaries.

22 Corporations are prohibited from making contributions or expenditures from their general
23 treasury funds in connection with any election of any candidate for federal office. *See* 2 U.S.C.

¹ All of the facts in this matter occurred prior to the effective date of the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002 ("BCRA"), Pub. L. 107-155, 116 Stat. 81 (2002). Accordingly, unless specifically noted to the contrary, all citations to the Act herein are as it read prior to the effective date of BCRA and all citations to the Commission's regulations herein are to the 2002 edition of Title 11, Code of Federal Regulations, which was published prior to the Commission's promulgation of any regulations under BCRA.

² Arthur A. Watson & Company, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of Connecticut. At some point after the events in this matter occurred, Arthur A. Watson & Company, Inc. was purchased by BankNorth, and is now wholly owned by BankNorth.

26044131074

§ 441b(a). 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) also makes it unlawful for any candidate, political committee, or other person knowingly to accept or receive a prohibited corporate contribution. *See id.* 2 U.S.C. § 441f prohibits: (1) making a contribution in the name of another; (2) knowingly permitting one's name to be used to effect such a contribution; and (3) knowingly accepting such a contribution. *See also* 11 C.F.R. § 110.4(b)(1)(i)-(iii).

26044131075

The phrase knowing and willful indicates that "actions [were] taken with full knowledge of all of the facts and a recognition that the action is prohibited by law." 122 Cong. Rec. H 2778 (daily ed. May 3, 1976); *see also Federal Election Comm'n v. John A. Dramesi for Cong. Comm.*, 640 F. Supp. 985, 987 (D.N.J. 1986) (distinguishing between "knowing" and "knowing and willful"). A knowing and willful violation may be established "by proof that the defendant acted deliberately and with knowledge" that an action was unlawful. *United States v. Hopkins*, 916 F.2d 207, 214 (5th Cir. 1990). In *Hopkins*, the court found that an inference of a knowing and willful violation could be drawn "from the defendants' elaborate scheme for disguising their ... political contributions...." *Id.* at 214-15. The court also found that the evidence did not have to show that a defendant "had specific knowledge of the regulations" or "conclusively demonstrate" a defendant's state of mind, "if there were 'facts and circumstances from which the jury reasonably could infer that [the defendant] knew her conduct was unauthorized and illegal.'" *Id.* at 213 (quoting *United States v. Bordelon*, 871 F.2d 491, 494 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 439 U.S. 838 (1989)). Finally, "[i]t has long been recognized that 'efforts at concealment [may] be reasonably explainable only in terms of motivation to evade' lawful obligations." *Id.* at 214 (quoting *Ingram v. United States*, 360 U.S. 672, 679 (1959)).

In making a contribution, but then accepting reimbursement from the Company, disguised in the form of commissions or salary, Mr. Bedula knowingly made a contribution in the name of

- 1 the Company. Based on the foregoing, there is reason to believe Greg Bedula knowingly and
- 2 willfully violated 2 U.S.C. § 441f.

26044131076