



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

THIS IS THE BEGINNING OF MUR # 3981

DATE FILMED 10-25-54 CAMERA NO. 4

CAMERAMAN Jm N

94043591463

06c 1320



Steve Perry for Congress

7720 B El Camino Real, #305

Carlsbad, CA 92009

(619) 929-7127

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION

May 13 9 10 AM '94

May 10, 1994

Office of the General Counsel
c/o Federal Election Commission
999 E Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20463-0001

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
OFFICE OF GENERAL
COUNSEL

MAY 13 10 01 AM '94

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am writing on behalf of Steve Perry, who is running in the Democratic primary in the 51st congressional district of California. We have recently received a copy of the report of receipts and disbursements for Steve's opponent in this primary, Rita Tamerius, FEC ID number C00290247, 161200 and find discrepancies in disbursements on her April 15 quarterly report.

1. She did not report disbursements for stationery and associated printing and logo expenses on this stationery. She handed this material out at local democratic clubs during late February through all of March. (Please find samples of the Fact sheet and Biographical sheet enclosed.)
2. She did not report disbursements for her second campaign headquarters mail box located at a Mail Boxes, Etc.; 3525 Del Mar Heights Road, #112; San Diego, California 92130. (Please see address at the bottom of the enclosed Fact Sheet and Biographical Sheet.)
3. She did not report disbursements made to a local political consulting firm, The La Jolla Group, whose services, through Tim Doring, she has been using since late February or early March. (Please see enclosed business card.)
4. She did not completely report the addresses on two contributors by leaving off the city in which they live. Please refer to Schedule A, lines a. and e.

If you need additional information, please contact Steve or myself at the above number and I want to thank you in advance for any assistance you can on this situation.

Sincerely,

Kent Hill

Kent Hill
Campaign Manager

24043591464



FACT SHEET

The 51st District Needs Better Representation In Congress...

- Our current representative had NEVER REGISTERED TO VOTE before he ran for Congress at the age of 44!
- Our representative votes ANTI-CHOICE despite polls which show that 76% of his constituents are PRO-CHOICE.
- Our representative voted AGAINST a bill requiring the placement of warning labels on TOYS WHICH POSED A CHOKING HAZARD to infants and children.
- Our representative voted AGAINST a bill which established national safety standards for bicycle helmets for children.
- Our representative voted AGAINST funding for childhood immunization programs, the Head Start program, and Women, Infant, and Children Nutrition Programs.
- Our current representative repeatedly voted AGAINST the Family Leave bill.
- Our current representative repeatedly voted AGAINST the Brady bill.
- Our current representative voted AGAINST a program to help prevent domestic violence and sexual assault.
- Our representative voted AGAINST Disaster Aid to devastated areas following the Los Angeles Riots and the Chicago and Midwest Floods.
- Our current representative voted AGAINST realistic Campaign reform.
- Our current representative voted AGAINST funding for the National Institutes of Health.

Why Rita Tamerius Can Better Represent Us In Congress...

- TAMERIUS has voted since 1966 and is very knowledgeable about important national and local issues.
- TAMERIUS is PRO-CHOICE and will work to reduce the need for abortions through more effective family-planning services for women.
- TAMERIUS was among the first professionals to actively promote the widespread use of infant car seats which met national safety standards.
- TAMERIUS supports national safety standards to help people select equipment which provides effective protection for children.
- TAMERIUS supports effective federal programs which reduce infant mortality, improve school performance, and help protect children against infectious diseases.
- TAMERIUS supports family leave which helps strengthen the family following a birth or during periods of serious illness of a family member.
- TAMERIUS supports the Brady Law.
- TAMERIUS is a strong supporter of programs which reduce or prevent child and spousal abuse.
- TAMERIUS gave up 2 weeks salary to volunteer her nursing services to the Red Cross during the Midwest floods. She believes the government should assist devastated regions of the country.
- TAMERIUS believes that campaign financing needs serious reform.
- TAMERIUS is a strong supporter of research in the basic sciences and health care.



Personal

Married 25 years to John D. Tamerius, Ph.D., VP of a San Diego biotechnology company. We have 3 children (one in graduate school, one in college, and one in high school) and have lived in La Costa since 1978.

Education

Bachelor of Science in Nursing, a Master of Nursing, and Nurse Practitioner Certificate, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. Candidate, Master of Public Health with emphasis on Healthcare Policy, San Diego State University.

Professional Experience

Officer, U.S. Army Nurse Corps, 1967 - 1969. Served as a Head Nurse in Army hospitals during the height of the Vietnam war. Critical Care Nurse, 1970 - 1972.

Faculty member and instructor, University of Washington School of Nursing, 1972 - 1974

Nurse Practitioner, Selected to attend the first university-based program to prepare Registered Nurses to provide basic medical and emergency care to people living in isolated communities; later became one of the first nurse practitioners to specialize in the care of cancer patients.

Oncology Nurse Practitioner, Nurse Administrator, Clinical Researcher.. Scripps Clinic and Research Foundation, San Diego, for 5 years.

Business owner, established and ran a small business specialized in earthquake preparedness.

Cancer Research Coordinator, Center for Medical Research, Sharp HealthCare, (present position)

Public Service Record

Child Safety Activist: Campaigned in the 1970s to promote the use of safe carseats for infants and children. Public educator regarding early detection of breast cancer and skin cancer.

Disaster Preparedness Expert/ Educator: Has provided thousands of hours of free education to the public (on television, radio, and in person) on EARTHQUAKE PREPAREDNESS; assisted UCSD, Scripps hospital, and numerous area schools to improve their earthquake preparedness. Has given numerous public lectures on topics such as cancer prevention and early detection, child safety, and strategies for getting better healthcare for your family.

TIM J. DORING
POLITICAL CONSULTANT
8304 CLAIREMONT MESA BLVD
SUITE 213
SAN DIEGO, CA 92131-1315
(619) 496-8895
FAX 496-3980



1467

CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE ACKNOWLEDGMENT


No. 5183

State of CALIFORNIA
County of SAN DIEGO

On 5-10-94 before me, CONSTANCE W. CASSIN - Notary Public
DATE NAME, TITLE OF OFFICER - E.G., "JANE DOE, NOTARY PUBLIC"

personally appeared Kent Hill
NAME(S) OF SIGNER(S)

☒ personally known to me - OR - ☐ proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.



WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Constance W. Cassin
SIGNATURE OF NOTARY

OPTIONAL SECTION
CAPACITY CLAIMED BY SIGNER

Though statute does not require the Notary to fill in the data below, doing so may prove invaluable to persons relying on the document.

- ☒ INDIVIDUAL
☐ CORPORATE OFFICER(S)
TITLE(S)
☐ PARTNER(S) ☐ LIMITED
☐ GENERAL
☐ ATTORNEY-IN-FACT
☐ TRUSTEE(S)
☐ GUARDIAN/CONSERVATOR
☐ OTHER: _____

SIGNER IS REPRESENTING:
NAME OF PERSON(S) OR ENTITY(IES)

THIS CERTIFICATE MUST BE ATTACHED TO THE DOCUMENT DESCRIBED AT RIGHT:

Though the data requested here is not required by law, it could prevent fraudulent reattachment of this form.

OPTIONAL SECTION

TITLE OR TYPE OF DOCUMENT Statement Re Protection
NUMBER OF PAGES 1 DATE OF DOCUMENT 5-10-94
SIGNER(S) OTHER THAN NAMED ABOVE Ø



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

MAY 16, 1994

Kent Hill
Steve Perry for Congress
7720 B El Camino Real, #305
Carlsbad, CA 92009

Dear Mr. Hill:

This is to acknowledge receipt on May 13, 1994, of your letter dated May 10, 1994. The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") and Commission Regulations require that the contents of a complaint meet certain specific requirements. One of these requirements is that a complaint be sworn to and signed in the presence of a notary public and notarized. Your letter was not properly sworn to.

In order to file a legally sufficient complaint, you must swear before a notary that the contents of your complaint are true to the best of your knowledge and the notary must represent as part of the jurat that such swearing occurred. The preferred form is "Subscribed and sworn to before me on this _____ day of _____, 19__." A statement by the notary that the complaint was sworn to and subscribed before her also will be sufficient. We regret the inconvenience that these requirements may cause you, but we are not statutorily empowered to proceed with the handling of a compliance action unless all the statutory requirements are fulfilled. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g.

Enclosed is a Commission brochure entitled "Filing a Complaint." I hope this material will be helpful to you should you wish to file a legally sufficient complaint with the Commission.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact me at (202) 219-3410.

Sincerely,

Retha Dixon
Retha Dixon
Docket Chief

Enclosure

cc: Rita Tamerius for Congress

24043591468



Steve Perry for Congress

7720 B El Camino Real, #305
Carlsbad, CA 92009
(619) 929-7127

OGC# 1444
RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
ADMINISTRATIVE
MAY 25 8 54 AM '94

May 20, 1994

Retha Dixon, Docket Chief
c/o Federal Election Commission
999 E Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20463-0001

Dear Retha Dixon:

Per your request, I have had the attached letter notarized with a sworn statement from my notary. I hope that this meets your specifications.

I have sent supporting documentation in my previous correspondence on May 10, 1994, so please refer to that information for the specifics of this complaint.

If there are any additional questions or concerns, please contact me by calling collect to the above number.

I want to thank you in advance for your cooperation on this matter.

Sincerely,

Kent Hill

Kent Hill
Campaign Manager

24043591409



Steve Perry for Congress

7720 B El Camino Real, #305

Carlsbad, CA 92009

(619) 929-7127

MUR 3981

May 10, 1994

Office of the General Counsel
c/o Federal Election Commission
999 E Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20463-0001

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am writing on behalf of Steve Perry, who is running in the Democratic primary in the 51st congressional district of California. We have recently received a copy of the report of receipts and disbursements for Steve's opponent in this primary, Rita Tamerius, FEC ID number C00290247, 161200 and find discrepancies in disbursements on her April 15 quarterly report.

1. She did not report disbursements for stationery and associated printing and logo expenses on this stationery. She handed this material out at local democratic clubs during late February through all of March. (Please find samples of the Fact sheet and Biographical sheet enclosed.)
2. She did not report disbursements for her second campaign headquarters mail box located at a Mail Boxes, Etc.; 3525 Del Mar Heights Road, #112; San Diego, California 92130. (Please see address at the bottom of the enclosed Fact Sheet and Biographical Sheet.)
3. She did not report disbursements made to a local political consulting firm, The La Jolla Group, whose services, through Tim Doring, she has been using since late February or early March. (Please see enclosed business card.)
4. She did not completely report the addresses on two contributors by leaving off the city in which they live. Please refer to Schedule A, lines a. and e.

If you need additional information, please contact Steve or myself at the above number and I want to thank you in advance for any assistance you can on this situation.

Sincerely,

Kent Hill
Campaign Manager

24043591470

24043591471

State California
County of San Diego

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) to before me this 20
day of May, 1994.

Constance W. Cassin
(Signature of Notary)





FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON DC 20461

MAY 31, 1994

Kent Hill
Steve Perry For Congress
7720 B El Camino Real, #305
Carlsbad, CA 92009

RE: MUR 3981

Dear Mr. Hill:

This letter acknowledges receipt on May 25, 1994, of your complaint alleging possible violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). The respondent(s) will be notified of this complaint within five days.

You will be notified as soon as the Federal Election Commission takes final action on your complaint. Should you receive any additional information in this matter, please forward it to the Office of the General Counsel. Such information must be sworn to in the same manner as the original complaint. We have numbered this matter MUR 3981. Please refer to this number in all future communications. For your information, we have attached a brief description of the Commission's procedures for handling complaints.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Taksar

Mary L. Taksar, Attorney
Central Enforcement Docket

Enclosure
Procedures

240435914/2



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20461

MAY 31, 1994

Janet Van Bortel, Treasurer
Rita Tamerius for Congress
3525 Del Mar Heights Road, #112
San Diego, CA 92130

RE: MUR 3981

Dear Ms. Bortel:

The Federal Election Commission received a complaint which indicates that Rita Tamerius for Congress ("Committee") and you, as treasurer, may have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). A copy of the complaint is enclosed. We have numbered this matter MUR 3981. Please refer to this number in all future correspondence.

Under the Act, you have the opportunity to demonstrate in writing that no action should be taken against the Committee and you, as treasurer, in this matter. Please submit any factual or legal materials which you believe are relevant to the Commission's analysis of this matter. Where appropriate, statements should be submitted under oath. Your response, which should be addressed to the General Counsel's Office, must be submitted within 15 days of receipt of this letter. If no response is received within 15 days, the Commission may take further action based on the available information.

This matter will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(4)(B) and § 437g(a)(12)(A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the matter to be made public. If you intend to be represented by counsel in this matter, please advise the Commission by completing the enclosed form stating the name, address and telephone number of such counsel, and authorizing such counsel to receive any notifications and other communications from the Commission.

2404391473

Janet Van Bortel, Treasurer
Rita Tamerius for Congress
Page 2

If you have any questions, please contact Joan McEnery at (202) 219-3400. For your information, we have enclosed a brief description of the Commission's procedures for handling complaints.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Taksar

Mary L. Taksar, Attorney
Central Enforcement Docket

Enclosures

1. Complaint
2. Procedures
3. Designation of Counsel Statement

94043591474

03C# 1486



Steve Perry for Congress

7720 B El Camino Real, #305

Carlsbad, CA 92009

(619) 929-7127

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

MAY 31 8 27 AM '94

May 26, 1994

Office of the General Counsel
c/o Federal Election Commission
999 E Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20463-0001

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
OFFICE OF GENERAL
COUNSEL

MAY 31 9 36 AM '94

MUR 3981

Dear Sir or Madam:

This letter will supplement my previous correspondence of May 10, 1994 that was sent to you. I am writing on behalf of Steve Perry, who is running in the Democratic primary in the 51st congressional district of California, regarding the report of receipts and disbursements for Steve's opponent in this primary, Rita Tamerius, FEC ID number C00290247, 161200 and find additional discrepancies in disbursements on her April 15 quarterly report:

1. She did not report disbursements for her filing fee of \$ 1,336.00 that was made during March, 1994 to the Registrar of Voters of San Diego County.
2. She did not report a \$ 50.00 disbursement made to the Region 21 pre-endorsement caucus held on March 26, 1994 in San Diego, CA.
3. She did not report mileage made in attending various Democratic meetings and other travel incurred by her through March 31, 1994.

If you need additional information, please contact Steve or myself at the above number. I want to thank you in advance for any assistance you can give us regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Kent Hill


Kent Hill, Campaign Manager
Steve Perry for Congress

24043591475

State California
County of San Diego

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) to before me this 28
day of May 1994.

Constance W. Gadsen
(Signature of Notary)





June 15, 1994

Ms. Mary L. Taksar, Atty.
Central Enforcement Docket
Federal Election Commission
999 'E' Street NW
Washington D.C., 20463-0001

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
OFFICE OF GENERAL
COUNSEL

JUN 16 12 41 PM '94

RE: MUR 3961

Dear Ms. Taksar:

On behalf of myself, Janet Van Bortel, Treasure, and the committee Rita Tamerius for Congress (FEC#C002290247), I am responding to your letter dated 05/31/94, which I received on June 12, 1994 (due to its sitting at campaign headquarters for ten days before reaching me at home, where I work). In your letter, you request responses to disbursement discrepancies found in the April 15 quarterly report. I hope the following information will clarify any unintentional errors found in the report:

1. Disbursements for stationary, printing and logo expenses were not reported because these costs were advanced through our campaign consultants, The La Jolla Group. Although \$956.28 was advanced on 02/25/94, their billing to us was dated 03.14.94 and no check was written until 04/01/94 (which was not within the billing period). It is understood that our error was in not reporting this amount as an outstanding debt. (Please see enclosed invoice copy)
2. Disbursements for our second campaign mail box mailing address was not reported, and again, the error is ours. This cost was covered through petty cash funds which were not properly reported to me when the report was prepared.
3. Disbursements to The La Jolla Group, our campaign consultants, were not reported due again to their first invoice to us dated 03/14/94, which was paid 04/01/94 and did not fall within the reporting period. Our error was once more in not reporting an outstanding debt. (See above copy)

24043591477

4. Incomplete addresses were reported for two contributors purely by oversight. Since these contributors gave \$100.00 each and do not fall within the \$200.00 requirement range, there should be absolutely no problem in the first place. However, to clear matters completely, the two addresses are as follows:

Celia J. Falicov, 4145 Miller Street, San Diego, CA 92103 and
Ellen Reville Eddis, 7348 Vista Del Mar, La Jolla, CA 92037

I hope the information above will settle any complaints about our procedures. Again, any errors made were completely unintentional and hopefully harmless in nature. An amendment to the April 15 quarterly report will be filed with the appropriate office in the near future.

Sincerely,

Janet Van Bortel/RKJ
Janet Van Bortel, Treasurer

24043591418

RECEIVED
GENERAL INQUIRY
OFFICE OF PERSONAL
COUNSEL
JUN 16 12 42 PM '94

The La Jolla Group

8304 Clairmont Mesa Blvd. Suite 213
 San Diego, California 92111-1318
 Office 496-8896 Fax 496-3980
 Federal I.D. # 33-0033513

March 14, 1994

Rita Tamerius
 Rita Tamerius for Congress
 2001 Ladera Court
 La Costa, CA 92009

Statement March 14, 1994

 Beginning Balance \$ 0.00

Consultant Fees:

2/25/94 to 3/25/94 \$ 1,500.00

Total Fees \$ 1,500.00

Costs Advanced:

2/25/94 Publicia (Letterhead/env/etc.) \$ 956.28

3/10/94 Copies \$ 21.44

Total Costs Advanced \$ 977.72

Total Due \$ 2,477.72

Payments:

2/25/94 \$ 956.28

Total Payments \$ 956.28

Balance Now Due (Please Pay This Amount) \$ 1,521.44

Thank You!!!

paid 1000
Chk # 1006

240435914/9



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

JUNE 27, 1994

Kent Hill
Steve Perry For Congress
7720 B El Camino Real, #107
Carlsbad, CA 92009

RE: MUR 3981

Dear Mr. Hill:

This letter acknowledges receipt on May 31, 1994, of the supplement to the complaint you filed on May 25, 1994. The respondent(s) will be sent copies of the supplement. You will be notified as soon as the Federal Election Commission takes final action on your complaint.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Taksar

Mary L. Taksar, Attorney
Central Enforcement Docket

24043591480



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

JUNE 27, 1994

Janet Van Bortel, Treasurer
Rita Tamerius For Congress
3525 Del Mar Heights Road, #112
San Diego, CA 92130

RE: MUR 3981
Rita Tamerius for Congress
and Janet Van Bortel,
as Treasurer

Dear Ms. Tamerius:

On May 31, 1994, you were notified that the Federal Election Commission received a complaint from Kent Hill alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. At that time you were given a copy of the complaint and informed that a response to the complaint should be submitted within 15 days of receipt of the notification.

On May 31, 1994, the Commission received additional information from the complainant pertaining to the allegations in the complaint. Enclosed is a copy of this additional information.

If you have any questions, please contact Joan McEnery at (202) 219-3400.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Taksar

Mary L. Taksar, Attorney
Central Enforcement Docket

Enclosure

24043591481

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

SEP 22 9 43 AM '94

In the Matter of

)
) Enforcement Priority
)

GENERAL COUNSEL'S MONTHLY REPORT

I. INTRODUCTION

This report is the first Enforcement Priority System Monthly Report.¹ The purpose of this August Monthly Report is to recommend that the Commission no longer pursue the identified lower priority and stale cases.

II. CASES RECOMMENDED FOR CLOSING

A. Cases Not Warranting Further Pursuit Relative to Other Cases Pending Before the Commission

A critical component of the Priority System is identifying those pending cases that do not warrant the further expenditure of resources. Each incoming matter is evaluated using Commission approved criteria

By closing such cases the Commission is

1. Prior to this report, Enforcement Priority System reports were done on a quarterly basis. However, on July 19, 1994, the Commission decided to change the reporting frequency from quarterly to monthly. Because this Office needed sufficient time to implement the change in reporting frequency, the August Monthly Report includes cases for July and August. In the future, the Monthly Report will reflect one month's activity.

Additionally, this Office previously indicated to the Commission that at the end of each quarter, we will provide a statistical recap for the activity that occurred during the quarter. Sometime in early October, this Office will provide a report for third quarter activity.

24043591482

able to use its limited resources to focus on more important cases.

Having evaluated incoming matters, this Office has identified 16 cases which do not warrant further pursuit relative to the other pending cases.² A short description of each case and the factors leading to assignment of a relatively low priority and consequent recommendation not to pursue each case is attached to this report. See Attachments 1-16. For the Commission's convenience, the narratives for externally-generated matters are immediately followed by the complaint and response(s) and the narratives for internally-generated matters are immediately followed by the referral or sua sponte submission.

B. Stale Cases

Investigations are severely impeded and require relatively more resources when the activity and evidence are old. Consequently, the Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission focus its efforts on cases involving more recent activity. Such efforts will also generate more impact on the current electoral process and are a more efficient allocation of our limited resources. To this end, this Office has identified 5 cases that

do not

2. These matters are: MUR 3963; MUR 3981; MUR 3982; MUR 3983; MUR 3989; MUR 3992; MUR 3993; MUR 3994; MUR 4006; MUR 4011; MUR 4015; MUR 4017; MUR 4020; MUR 4021; and PM 300.

24043591483

warrant further investment of significant Commission resources.³ Since the recommendation not to pursue the identified cases is based on staleness, this Office has not prepared separate narratives for these cases. However, for the externally-generated matters, the complaint and response(s) are attached to the report and for internally-generated matters, the referral is attached. See Attachments 17-21.

3. These matters are: MUR 3784; MUR 3788; RAD 93L-52, RAD 93NF-23, and PM 287.

24043591484

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Decline to open a MUR, close the file, and approve the appropriate letter in the following matters:

- 1) RAD 93L-52
- 2) RAD 93NF-23
- 4) PH 287
- 5) PH 300

B. Take no action, close the file, and approve the appropriate letter in the following matters:

- 1) MUR 3784
- 2) MUR 3788
- 3) MUR 3963
- 4) MUR 3981
- 5) MUR 3982
- 6) MUR 3983
- 7) MUR 3989
- 8) MUR 3992
- 9) MUR 3993
- 10) MUR 3994
- 11) MUR 4006
- 12) MUR 4011
- 13) MUR 4015
- 14) MUR 4017
- 15) MUR 4020
- 16) MUR 4021

Date

9-21-94

Lawrence M. Noble
General Counsel

Lawrence M. Noble (L92)

24043591435

24043591486

In the Matter of)
) Agenda Document
Enforcement Priority) #X94-94

CERTIFICATION

I, Marjorie W. Emmons, recording secretary for the Federal Election Commission executive session on September 27, 1994, do hereby certify that the Commission decided by a vote of 6-0 to take the following actions in the above-captioned matter:

- A. Decline to open a MUR, close the file, and approve the appropriate letter in the following matters:
- 1) RAD 93L-52;
 - 2) RAD 93NF-23;
 - 3) PM 287;
 - 4) PM 300.
- B. Take no action, close the file, and approve the appropriate letter in the following matters:
- 1) MUR 3784;
 - 2) MUR 3788;
 - 3) MUR 3963;
 - 4) MUR 3981;
 - 5) MUR 3982;
 - 6) MUR 3983;
 - 7) MUR 3989;

(continued)

Federal Election Commission
Certification: Enforcement Priority
September 27, 1994

Page 2

- 8) MUR 3992;
- 9) MUR 3993;
- 10) MUR 3994;
- 11) MUR 4006;
- 12) MUR 4011;
- 13) MUR 4015;
- 14) MUR 4017;
- 15) MUR 4020;
- 16) MUR 4021.

Commissioners Aikens, Elliott, McDonald, McGarry,
Potter, and Thomas voted affirmatively for the decision.

Attest:

9-29-94
Date

Marjorie W. Emmons
Marjorie W. Emmons
Secretary of the Commission

94043591487



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

SEP 29 1994

Kent Hill
Steve Perry For Congress
7720 B El Camino Real, #305
Carlsbad, CA 92009

RE: MUR 3981

Dear Mr. Hill:

On May 25, 1994, the Federal Election Commission received your complaint alleging certain violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act").

After considering the circumstances of this matter, the Commission has determined to exercise its prosecutorial discretion and to take no action against the respondents. See attached narrative. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter. This matter will become part of the public record within 30 days.

The Act allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Sincerely,

Mary L. Taksar

Mary L. Taksar, Attorney
Central Enforcement Docket

Attachment
Narrative

Date the Commission voted to close the file: SEP 27 1994

24043591488

MUR 3981
RITE TAMERIUS FOR CONGRESS COMMITTEE

The complaint, filed by the Steve Perry for Congress Committee, alleges that the Rite Tamerius for Congress Committee failed to disclose disbursements for stationery and associated costs, a mail box, and consulting services provided by the La Jolla Group in its 1994 April Quarterly Report. The complainant also alleges that the Committee failed to completely report the addresses of two contributors listed in the same report. In a supplement to the complaint, the complainant additionally alleges that the Committee failed to disclose disbursements for the \$1,336 candidate filing fee made to the Registrar of Voters of San Diego County, mileage and travel costs for attending meetings, and a \$50 payment to the Region 21 pre-endorsement caucus.

In response to the complaint, the Tamerius Committee states that disbursements to the La Jolla Group, including an advance for stationery, were made in the subsequent reporting period, the omissions in the April Quarterly Report were unintentional, and the Committee now realizes that it should have reported these items as debts owed. The Committee states that the disbursement for the mail box was made from petty cash funds and that the disbursement was not properly reported to the treasurer for inclusion in the report. The Committee also indicates that it was not required to report the two contributions which included incomplete contributor addresses because each contributor had contributed only \$100. The Committee later filed an amendment to its 1994 April Quarterly which discloses disbursements made to La Jolla Group, Mail Boxes Etc., and the Registrar of San Diego County and provides complete addresses for the two contributors.

This matter involves a limited amount of money and there appears to be no serious intent to violate FECA. This matter involves less significant issues relative to the other issues pending before the Commission.

24043591489



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D C 20461

SEP 29 1994

Janet Van Bortel, Treasurer
Rita Tamerius for Congress
3525 Del Mar Heights Road, #112
San Diego, CA 92130

RE: MUR 3981

Dear Ms. Bortel:

On May 31, 1994, the Federal Election Commission notified you of a complaint alleging certain violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the complaint was enclosed with that notification.

After considering the circumstances of this matter, the Commission has determined to exercise its prosecutorial discretion and to take no action against Rita Tamerius for Congress and you, as treasurer. See attached narrative. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter.

The confidentiality provisions of 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(12) no longer apply and this matter is now public. In addition, although the complete file must be placed on the public record within 30 days, this could occur at any time following certification of the Commission's vote. If you wish to submit any factual or legal materials to appear on the public record, please do so as soon as possible. While the file may be placed on the public record prior to receipt of your additional materials, any permissible submissions will be added to the public record when received.

If you have any questions, please contact Alva E. Smith at (202) 219-3400.

Sincerely,

Mary L. Taksar

Mary L. Taksar, Attorney
Central Enforcement Docket

Attachment
Narrative

Date the Commission voted to close the file: SEP 27 1994

24043591420

MUR 3981
RITE TAMERIUS FOR CONGRESS COMMITTEE

The complaint, filed by the Steve Perry for Congress Committee, alleges that the Rite Tamerius for Congress Committee failed to disclose disbursements for stationery and associated costs, a mail box, and consulting services provided by the La Jolla Group in its 1994 April Quarterly Report. The complainant also alleges that the Committee failed to completely report the addresses of two contributors listed in the same report. In a supplement to the complaint, the complainant additionally alleges that the Committee failed to disclose disbursements for the \$1,336 candidate filing fee made to the Registrar of Voters of San Diego County, mileage and travel costs for attending meetings, and a \$50 payment to the Region 21 pre-endorsement caucus.

In response to the complaint, the Tamerius Committee states that disbursements to the La Jolla Group, including an advance for stationery, were made in the subsequent reporting period, the omissions in the April Quarterly Report were unintentional, and the Committee now realizes that it should have reported these items as debts owed. The Committee states that the disbursement for the mail box was made from petty cash funds and that the disbursement was not properly reported to the treasurer for inclusion in the report. The Committee also indicates that it was not required to report the two contributions which included incomplete contributor addresses because each contributor had contributed only \$100. The Committee later filed an amendment to its 1994 April Quarterly which discloses disbursements made to La Jolla Group, Mail Boxes Etc., and the Registrar of San Diego County and provides complete addresses for the two contributors.

This matter involves a limited amount of money and there appears to be no serious intent to violate FECA. This matter involves less significant issues relative to the other issues pending before the Commission.

24043591421



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

THIS IS THE END OF MUR # 3981

DATE FILMED 10-25-91 CAMERA NO. 4

CAMERAMAN JMH

24043591492