



# FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1325 K STREET N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

THIS IS THE END OF MUR # 1211

Date Filmed 6/16/80 Camera No. --- 2

Cameraman SPC



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

June 2, 1980

CERTIFIED MAIL  
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Timothy J. Morgan  
1046 Western Drive  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

RE: MUR 1211

Dear Mr. Morgan:

The Federal Election Commission has reviewed the allegations of your complaint dated April 18, 1980 and determined that on the basis of the information provided in your complaint that there is no reason to believe that a violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") has been committed. Specifically, 2 U.S.C. § 435(b) was repealed on January 8, 1980, by the Federal Election Campaign Act Amendments of 1979. The Commission has determined that the literature in question was circulated sometime after January 8, 1980. Thus, there is no statutory basis on which the Commission can make a finding.

Accordingly, the Commission has decided to close the file in this matter.

Should additional information come to your attention which you believe establishes a violation of the Act, please contact Robert Bogin, the attorney assigned to this matter at (202) 523-4529.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Charles N. Steele".

Charles N. Steele  
General Counsel

0040192756



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

CERTIFIED MAIL  
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Timothy J. Morgan  
1046 Western Drive  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

RE: MUR 1211

Dear Mr. Morgan:

The Federal Election Commission has reviewed the allegations of your complaint dated April 18, 1980 and determined that on the basis of the information provided in your complaint that there is no reason to believe that a violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") has been committed. Specifically, 2 U.S.C. § 435(b) was repealed on January 8, 1980, by the Federal Election Campaign Act Amendments of 1979. The Commission has determined that the literature in question was circulated sometime after January 8, 1980. Thus, there is no statutory basis on which the Commission can make a finding.

Accordingly, the Commission has decided to close the file in this matter.

Should additional information come to your attention which you believe establishes a violation of the Act, please contact Robert Bogin, the attorney assigned to this matter at (202) 523-4529.

Sincerely,

Charles N. Steele  
General Counsel

RB  
5/30/80

004757



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

June 2, 1980

CERTIFIED MAIL  
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Anderson for President Committee  
719 Eighth Street, S.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20003

RE: MUR 1211

Dear Sir or Madam:

On April 23, 1980, the Commission notified you of a complaint alleging that your committee may have violated certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended.

The Commission, on May 29, 1980, determined that on the basis of the information in the complaint that there is no reason to believe that a violation of any statute within its jurisdiction has been committed. Accordingly, the Commission has closed its file in this matter. This matter will become a part of the public record within 30 days.

Sincerely,

  
Charles N. Steele  
General Counsel

00492753



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

CERTIFIED MAIL  
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Anderson for President Committee  
719 Eighth Street, S.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20003

RE: MUR 1211

Dear Sir or Madam:

On April 23, 1980, the Commission notified you of a complaint alleging that your committee may have violated certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended.

The Commission, on , 1980, determined that on the basis of the information in the complaint that there is no reason to believe that a violation of any statute within its jurisdiction has been committed. Accordingly, the Commission has closed its file in this matter. This matter will become a part of the public record within 30 days.

Sincerely,

Charles N. Steele  
General Counsel

RB  
5/31/80

00040192759

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

In the Matter of )  
 ) MUR 1211  
Anderson for President Committee )

CERTIFICATION

I, Marjorie W. Emmons, Secretary to the Federal Election Commission, do hereby certify that on May 29, 1980 the Commission decided by a vote of 6-0 to take the following actions regarding MUR 1211:

1. Find NO REASON TO BELIEVE the Anderson for President Committee violated 2 U.S.C. §435(b).
2. Send out letter of notification, as attached to the First General Counsel's Report dated May 27, 1980, to respondent and complainant.

Voting for this determination were Commissioners Aikens, Friedersdorf, Harris, McGarry, Reiche, and Tiernan.

Attest:

5/30/80

Date

Marjorie W. Emmons  
Marjorie W. Emmons  
Secretary to the Commission

Received in Office of the Commission Secretary: 5-27-80, 10:52  
Circulated on 48 hour vote basis: 5027-80, 4:00

00040192760

May 27, 1980

MEMORANDUM TO: Marjorie W. Emmons  
FROM: Elissa T. Carr  
SUBJECT: MUR 1211

Please have the attached First GC Report distributed  
to the Commission on a 48 hour tally basis. Thank you.

60040192761

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION  
1325 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20463

RECEIVED  
OFFICE OF THE  
COMMISSION SECRETARY

FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT

80 MAY 27 A10: 52

DATE AND TIME OF TRANSMITTAL  
BY OGC TO THE COMMISSION MAY 27 1980

MUR # 1211  
DATE COMPLAINT RECEIVED  
BY OGC April 21, 1980

STAFF MEMBER Robert Bogin

COMPLAINANT'S NAME: Timothy J. Morgan

RESPONDENT'S NAME: Anderson for President Committee

RELEVANT STATUTE: Former 2 U.S.C. § 435(b)

INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED: None

FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED: None

SUMMARY OF ALLEGATIONS

In a complaint dated April 18, 1980, Timothy J. Morgan alleged that the Anderson for President Committee violated 2 U.S.C. § 435(b) by failing to include the required notice on the face or front page of its literature soliciting contributions.

FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

2 U.S.C. § 435(b) was in effect until January 8, 1980, the date which the 1979 Amendments to the Act became effective. The 1979 Amendments repealed that part of § 435(b) relating to campaign advertising. The only requirement the Act imposes on an authorized political committee after January 8, 1980, for communications such as the brochure in issue herein, is that such communication clearly state that it has been paid for by such authorized political committee. 2 U.S.C. § 44ld. The literature in question does state that it has been paid for by the Anderson for President Committee.



The only question that remains is when was this brochure communicated to the public. It is clear on its face that the brochure was published after January 8, 1980, inasmuch as quotes from former Senator Edward W. Brooke and the New York Times were dated January 31, 1980 and January 13, 1980, respectively. Thus, since the brochure in question was not communicated prior to January 8, 1980, there is no violation of the Act.

Recommendation

1. Find no reason to believe the Anderson for President Committee violated 2 U.S.C. § 435(b).

2. Send out letter of notification to respondent and complainant.

Attachments

Letters (2)

Complaint

00010192763



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

CERTIFIED MAIL  
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Timothy J. Morgan  
1046 Western Drive  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

RE: MUR 1211

Dear Mr. Morgan:

The Federal Election Commission has reviewed the allegations of your complaint dated April 18, 1980 and determined that on the basis of the information provided in your complaint that there is no reason to believe that a violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") has been committed. Specifically, 2 U.S.C. § 435(b) was repealed on January 8, 1980, by the Federal Election Campaign Act Amendments of 1979. The Commission has determined that the literature in question was circulated sometime after January 8, 1980. Thus, there is no statutory basis on which the Commission can make a finding.

Accordingly, the Commission has decided to close the file in this matter.

Should additional information come to your attention which you believe establishes a violation of the Act, please contact Robert Bogin, the attorney assigned to this matter at (202) 523-4529.

Sincerely,

Charles N. Steele  
General Counsel

0040191764



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

CERTIFIED MAIL  
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Anderson for President Committee  
719 Eighth Street, S.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20003

RE: MUR 1211

Dear Sir or Madam:

On April 23, 1980, the Commission notified you of a complaint alleging that your committee may have violated certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended.

The Commission, on , 1980, determined that on the basis of the information in the complaint that there is no reason to believe that a violation of any statute within its jurisdiction has been committed. Accordingly, the Commission has closed its file in this matter. This matter will become a part of the public record within 30 days.

Sincerely,

Charles N. Steele  
General Counsel

0019192765

60 APR 21 PM 1:19

COMPLAINT

I, Timothy J. Morgan, being first duly sworn, do hereby make the following declaration:

That my name is Timothy J. Morgan; that I reside at 1046 Western Drive, Santa Cruz, California 95060; that my home telephone number is (408) 423-5407; that my business address is 2541 Soquel Avenue, Santa Cruz, California 95062; and that my business telephone number is (408) 462-1565.

That there came into my possession on April 14, 1980, a brochure identified as having been prepared by The Anderson for President Committee, 719 Eighth Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003, which brochure is attached hereto as Exhibit "A";

That I have reason to believe that said brochure is legally defective in a manner requiring action by the Commission in that the document fails to include the notice apparently required on fund-raising literature under the provisions of 2 U.S.C. §435(b); and


That I am not a candidate, nor am I filing this complaint on behalf of or at the request or suggestion of a candidate.

Executed this 18 day of April, 1980, at Santa Cruz, California.

Timothy J. Morgan  
TIMOTHY J. MORGAN

State of California     )  
                              )  
County of Santa Cruz    )     SS

On this date, APRIL 18, 1980, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public for the State of California, Timothy J. Morgan, who being first duly sworn, made the foregoing declaration and acknowledged his signature above set forth.



Jeff Bosshard

6  
7  
8  
9  
0  
1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
0

Paid for by The Anderson for President Committee

EXHIBIT A

“WHY NOT THE BEST?”

ANDERSON  
for PRESIDENT

John Anderson can win when those people who  
belong with him have the courage to stand up and  
support his candidacy.”

former U.S. Senator Edward W. Brooke  
January 31, 1980

The Anderson for President Committee  
719 Eighth Street, S.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20003

Bulk Rate  
U. S. POSTAGE  
PAID  
Washington, DC  
Permit No. 2180

Dear Congressman Anderson . . .

I want to help your campaign for the Presidency. Enclosed is my  
contribution of: ☐ \$25 ☐ \$50 ☐ \$100 ☐ \$250 ☐ Other

☐ I would also like to volunteer time for the campaign. Please  
contact me.

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_

Home Tel. \_\_\_\_\_ Bus. Tel. \_\_\_\_\_

Occupation & Employer's Name (This information is needed to comply with the  
Federal Election Campaign Act)

Please make your check payable to The Anderson for President Committee

Mail to: The Anderson for President Committee  
719 Eighth Street, S.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20003

ANDERSON  
for PRESIDENT

# The New York Times

JANUARY 13, 1980

© 1980 The New York Times

Emphasis added

## Why Not the Best?

The first thing people say when John Anderson's name comes up in talk about the 1980 election is something like, "You know, he may be the best man in the race, and one who appeals to Republicans and Democrats at that." Invariably, the next sentence is something like, "Too bad he doesn't have a chance."

It is not our purpose here to endorse Mr. Anderson for nomination or election. This is not the season for endorsements; the primaries haven't even started. But it is our purpose to ask about the odd gap between those two sentences. If a candidate is really that appealing, why shouldn't he have a chance?

The single most important policy test for Presidential candidates in 1980 is energy. Their positions on energy necessarily tell where they stand on foreign policy, inflation, even the price of gold. By this test, Mr. Anderson so far makes more sense than the other candidates. They all say they know America's neck is in the noose of heavy dependence on foreign oil. But, aside from President Carter's worthwhile, if minimal, program, none seem willing to do much about it.

Ronald Reagan, for instance, says that the United States must produce its way out of the noose by somehow finding enough new oil and natural gas "here in our own country." Edward Kennedy contends that America can conserve its way out of the noose, with tax credits and subsidies for insulation and energy saving. Such policies would help — eventually. But what

about the three or five or more years until then?

Representative Anderson, a Republican from northwest Illinois, understands that there is only one way to loosen the noose quickly and to create enough slack for the next crisis: Americans must use less gasoline. The simple way to achieve that is to charge more. Recent price increases confirm the point; consumption has gone down twice as much as predicted. Mr. Anderson proposes a Federal tax of 50 cents a gallon.

The trouble is that while such a tax would give conservation a healthy boost, it would give inflation an unhealthy one. But that is true only if Washington keeps the money. Having discouraged sales, Washington can then give back the money; Mr. Anderson would do that by reducing Social Security withholding 50 percent. In short, he, alone among the candidates so far, recognizes how quick and sharp a blade is needed when the rope is so thick and the noose is so tight.

We don't know if he is the best man in other respects. He says he is financially conservative, and his ideas about limiting Federal spending certainly demonstrate that. He says he is socially liberal, and he has surely been in the forefront of civil rights legislation. He says he is bipartisan, a claim supported by his bold defense of the President's cutoff of grain sales. We would like to know more. He deserves at least the chance to show more. We hope voters in New Hampshire and other early primary states give it to him.

## OTHER EDITORIAL COMMENT

"These days, Anderson is Washington's favorite Republican. He has all the qualities that those who lie awake nights worrying over the fate of the republic want in a President."

—Atlantic Monthly

"Anderson, who has served in Congress since 1961, is considered by many colleagues as the most able member in the House."

—Parade Magazine

"He's right there looking you in the eye, talking straight, telling you what he believes whether you like it or not. He doesn't try to flatter, cajole, stroke or impress. There is no small talk in him, though he is not solemn. He is opinionated without being judgmental, open-minded without being vacillating, unglamorous yet not boring. He is the antithesis of the self-indulgence of the '70s. He seems like a good, decent, honest, intelligent, dedicated man."

—Sally Quinn, Washington Post

"Almost every columnist who interviews him comes away saying he has more brains and character than any of the other GOP candidates."

—Mike Royko, Chicago Sun-Times

"That man is Congressman John B. Anderson of Illinois, a silver-haired orator with a golden tongue, a 17-jewel mind, and a brass backbone. Anderson is a man of charm, grace and intellect whose Achilles heel is a passionate attachment to the issues and a willingness to argue his viewpoint when it would be shrewder to shut up."

—James P. Gannon, Des Moines Sunday Register

"His major asset is that he is the one Republican who could reasonably expect to win large numbers of Democrats to his support in a general election — particularly if a bloody Carter-Kennedy contest ended in a Democratic split."

—Tom Wicker, New York Times

# THE ANDERSON PERFORMANCE



- Chief sponsor of the Regulatory Reform Act of 1979, which would benefit both business and consumer by increasing competition and freeing commerce from unnecessary regulations.
- The Udall-Anderson Alaskan Lands Act, an historic environmental step to save part of the only true wilderness left in America.
- Strong support for every major piece of civil rights legislation. Cast the crucial deciding vote for the Open Housing Law.
- Unwavering support for the Equal Rights Amendment. Voted in favor of extending its ratification date.
- Opposed legislation to cut off funds for abortion for poor women.

## Where they stand

Issues	Anderson	Bush	Reagan	Connally	Baker	Dole	Crane
Extension of time to permit ratification of ERA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
Grain Embargo	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
Federally funded abortions	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
Easing of environmental regulations to allow burning of coal	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Panama Canal treaty	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
The military draft	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No
Tax cut	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Constitutional amendment to balance the budget	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Windfall profits tax	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No

**THINK ABOUT THE  
ANDERSON DIFFERENCE**





## JOHN ANDERSON - The Man

- 10 times elected U.S. Congressman from Illinois (1960-1980)
- 58 years old, married, five children
- University of Illinois, Phi Beta Kappa
- Harvard Law School
- U.S. Army (World War II), four battle stars
- Six times elected to the Republican House leadership
- Senior member of House Rules Committee
- The Ranking Republican on the Ad Hoc Energy Committee

### Think before you vote

In the furor of Presidential politics with its irrational bandwagon mentality, it is easy to ignore what is best for you and your country. Candidates cease to be examined for what they really are. Issues become blurred. Assumptions replace facts. And, as the past has so tragically proven, weak, inept and sometimes deceitful men can be elected.

John B. Anderson is indeed a refreshing and exciting choice for a thinking electorate — a man who has demonstrated the courage to make difficult and often unpopular decisions, both as a Congressman and as a candidate. We all know this country must be prepared to make sacrifices on energy and the economy. *There are no shortcuts. No overnight solutions.* John Anderson has the courage to deliver this message *before* the election, not afterwards.

And John Anderson can win! As the only moderate in the race, he alone can attract significant numbers of Democrats and independents, an essential ingredient for a November victory. But if he is to win, he must have your support now.

**Think about the Anderson difference.**





600 H 123b  
RECEIVED

'80 MAY '5 PM 1:21

LAW OFFICES OF  
DAVIS AND GOOCH  
920 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, S. E.  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20003  
(202) 543-3800

WILLIAM B. DAVIS \*  
RAYMOND L. GOOCH \*

\* ALSO MEMBER NORTH CAROLINA BAR  
\* ALSO MEMBER VIRGINIA BAR

DANIEL J. SWILLINGER  
OF COUNSEL

May 2, 1980

Charles N. Steele, Esq.  
General Counsel  
Federal Election Commission  
1325 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20463

C07873

RECEIVED  
GENERAL COUNSEL  
30 MAY 6 A10:16

Re: MUR 1211

Dear Mr. Steele,

This is in response to your letter of April 24, 1980, in which you notified the Anderson for President Committee, which I serve as general counsel, that a complaint has been filed against it.

This response is being filed within 15 days of receipt of your letter, pursuant to 2 U.S.C. §437g(a)(1), to show that no action should be taken against the committee.

Complaint

The complaint, contained in a letter and affidavit to the Commission dated April 18, 1980, and signed by a Mr. Timothy J. Morgan, alleges that the Anderson for President Committee violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, by failing to print on a brochure the notice required by "2 U.S.C. §435(b)."

The brochure in question contains, on its front panel, the following notice: "Paid for by the Anderson for President Committee."

Response to the Complaint

The 1979 Amendments to the FECA repealed 2 U.S.C. §435(b), effective January 8, 1980. The only notice requirement contained in the Act is found at 2 U.S.C. §441d. It provides, in relevant part:

(a) Whenever any person makes an expenditure for the purpose of financing communications expressly advocating

Charles N. Steele, Esq.

May 2, 1980

Page Two

the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate ..., such communication--(1) if paid for and authorized by a candidate, an authorized political committee of a candidate, or its agents, shall clearly state that the communication has been paid for by such authorized committee ... (emphasis added).


The Anderson for President Committee is the political committee authorized by John B. Anderson as his principal campaign committee for his candidacy for the 1980 Republican presidential nomination, see FEC Form 1 (Statement of Organization) and FEC Form 2 (Statement of a Candidate) filed in 1979.

The notice printed on the brochure in question contains the disclaimer required by 2 U.S.C. §441d(a)(1).

The only remaining question is whether this brochure was printed on or after the effective date of the 1979 Amendments. The attached affidavit of Michael F. MacLeod, campaign manager of the Anderson Campaign during the relevant time period, states that this brochure was first printed on or after the first week of February, 1980.

Based on the foregoing, respondent Anderson for President Committee respectfully requests that the Federal Election Commission find no reason to believe that the complaint sets forth a possible violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act, and, accordingly, dismiss the complaint.

Respectfully submitted,



Daniel J. Spillinger  
General Counsel

Anderson for President Committee

DJS/kbl

Enclosure--1 (Affidavit of Michael F. MacLeod)

AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL F. MACLEOD

District of Columbia, S.S.

Michael F. MacLeod, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. I was the campaign manager of the Anderson for President Committee Republican primary campaign from on or about January 23, 1980 until April 25, 1980.

2. During that time, I personally approved all expenditures for advertising materials, including the brochure in question.


3. Production of the brochure in question began on or about the first week of February, 1980, and distribution followed shortly thereafter.

Further affiant sayeth not.

  
Michael F. MacLeod

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN TO before me this 2nd day of May, 1980.

~~My Commission Expires February 14, 1985~~

  
Notary Public, D.C.

00940192773

1771



SEPTIMO -  
AMERICA-

מחלוקת בין חוקרים על חשיבות המעורבות

# CERTIFIED

No. 742488

MAIL

Charles N. Steele, Esq.  
General Counsel  
Federal Election Commission  
1325 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20463

282

PS Form 3811, Aug. 1978

RETURN RECEIPT, REGISTERED, INSURED AND CERTIFIED MAIL

<b>SENDER: Complete items 1, 2, and 3.</b> Add your address in the "RETURN TO" space on reverse.		
1. The following service is requested (check one). <input type="checkbox"/> Show to whom and date delivered. _____ \$ <input type="checkbox"/> Show to whom, date, and address of delivery. _____ \$ <input type="checkbox"/> RESTRICTED DELIVERY Show to whom and date delivered. _____ \$ <input type="checkbox"/> RESTRICTED DELIVERY. Show to whom, date, and address of delivery. _____ \$ (CONSULT POSTMASTER FOR FEES)		
2. ARTICLE ADDRESSED TO: <i>Simichy, J. Magan</i> <i>Brenham, Magan &amp; Glidden</i> <i>2541 Soquel Avenue</i> <i>Santa Cruz, CA 95602</i>		
3. ARTICLE DESCRIPTION:		
REGISTERED NO.	CERTIFIED NO.	INSURED NO.
	<i>944631</i>	
(Always obtain signature of addressee or agent)		
I have received the article described above. SIGNATURE <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee <input type="checkbox"/> Authorized agent <i>Jonathan Glidden</i>		
4. DATE OF DELIVERY <i>SEP 20 1981</i>		POSTMARK
5. ADDRESS (Complete only if requested)		
6. UNABLE TO DELIVER BECAUSE:		CLERK'S INITIALS

☆ GPO: 1978-272-582

1211

Bozen



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

April 24, 1980


CERTIFIED MAIL  
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Timothy J. Morgan  
Bosshard, Morgan and Glidden  
2541 Soquel Avenue  
Santa Cruz, California 95602

Dear Mr. Morgan:

This letter is to acknowledge receipt of your complaint of April 18, 1980, against the Anderson for President Committee which alleges violations of the Federal Election Campaign laws. A staff member has been assigned to analyze your allegations. The respondent will be notified of this complaint within 5 days and a recommendation to the Federal Election Commission as to how this matter should be initially handled will be made 15 days after the respondent's notification. You will be notified as soon as the Commission takes final action on your complaint. Should you have or receive any additional information, please forward it to this office. For your information, we have attached a brief description of the Commission's procedures for handling complaints.

Sincerely,

  
Charles N. Steele  
General Counsel

Enclosure

0010192775



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

April 24, 1980

CERTIFIED MAIL  
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

The Anderson for President Committee  
719 Eighth Street, S.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20003

Re: MUR 1211

Dear Sir or Madam:

This letter is to notify you that on April 21, 1980, the Federal Election Commission received a complaint which alleges that your Committee may have violated certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") or Chapters 95 and 96 of Title 26, U.S. Code. A copy of this complaint is enclosed. We have numbered this matter MUR 1211. Please refer to this number in all future correspondence.

Under the Act, you have the opportunity to demonstrate, in writing, that no action should be taken against your Committee in connection with this matter. Your response must be submitted within 15 days of receipt of this letter. If no response is received within 15 days, the Commission may take further action based on the available information.

Please submit any factual or legal materials which you believe are relevant to the Commission's analysis of this matter. Where appropriate, statements should be submitted under oath.

This matter will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(4)(B) and § 437g(a)(12)(A) unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the matter to be made public.

If you intend to be represented by counsel in this matter, please advise the Commission by sending a letter of representation stating the name, address and telephone number of such counsel, and a statement authorizing such counsel to receive any notifications and other communications from the Commission.


0047193777

Letter to: The Anderson for President  
Committee

Page Two

If you have any questions, please contact Robert Bogin, the attorney assigned to this matter at (202) 523-4529. For your information, we have attached a brief description of the Commission's procedure for handling complaints.

Sincerely,

  
Charles N. Steele  
General Counsel

Enclosure

1. Complaint
2. Procedures

PS Form 3811, Aug. 1978

SENDER: Complete items 1, 2, and 3.  
Add your address in the "RETURN TO" space on reverse.

1. The following service is requested (check one).  
☐ Show to whom and date delivered. \_\_\_\_\_¢  
☐ Show to whom, date, and address of delivery. \_\_\_\_\_¢  
☐ RESTRICTED DELIVERY  
Show to whom and date delivered. \_\_\_\_\_¢  
☐ RESTRICTED DELIVERY.  
Show to whom, date, and address of delivery. \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
(CONSULT POSTMASTER FOR FEES)

2. ARTICLE ADDRESSED TO:  
*The Anderson for Pres Comm*  
*719 Eighth Street, S.E.*  
*Wash., D.C. 20003*

3. ARTICLE DESCRIPTION:  
REGISTERED NO. \_\_\_\_\_ CERTIFIED NO. **944633** INSURED NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
(Always obtain signature of addressee or agent)

I have received the article described above.  
SIGNATURE ☐ Addressee ☐ Authorized agent  
*Donna Cohen*

4. DATE OF DELIVERY \_\_\_\_\_ POSTMARK \_\_\_\_\_

5. ADDRESS (Complete only if requested)  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

6. UNABLE TO DELIVER BECAUSE: \_\_\_\_\_ CLERK'S INITIALS \_\_\_\_\_

RETURN RECEIPT, REGISTERED, INSURED AND CERTIFIED MAIL

GPO: 1978-272-187

*Mar 12 11 Bogin*



LAW OFFICES OF  
BOSSHARD, MORGAN & GLIDDEN  
A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION  
2541 SOQUEL AVENUE  
SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA 95062

JEFFREY J. BOSSHARD  
TIMOTHY J. MORGAN  
JONATHAN D. GLIDDEN

TELEPHONE  
(408) 499-1565

RECEIVED  
APR 21 1980  
1:19 PM

April 18, 1980

Federal Election Commission  
Office of the General Counsel  
1325 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20463

037523

Gentlemen:

I am sending the enclosed complaint for such action  
as you deem appropriate.

Very truly yours,

*Timothy J. Morgan*

Timothy J. Morgan

SP:64 22 0

APR 21 1980  
1:19 PM

00047100779

RECEIVED

80 APR 21 PM 1:19

COMPLAINT

I, Timothy J. Morgan, being first duly sworn, do hereby make the following declaration:

That my name is Timothy J. Morgan; that I reside at 1046 Western Drive, Santa Cruz, California 95060; that my home telephone number is (408) 423-5407; that my business address is 2541 Soquel Avenue, Santa Cruz, California 95062; and that my business telephone number is (408) 462-1565.

That there came into my possession on April 14, 1980, a brochure identified as having been prepared by The Anderson for President Committee, 719 Eighth Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003, which brochure is attached hereto as Exhibit "A";

That I have reason to believe that said brochure is legally defective in a manner requiring action by the Commission in that the document fails to include the notice apparently required on fund-raising literature under the provisions of 2 U.S.C. §435(b); and


That I am not a candidate, nor am I filing this complaint on behalf of or at the request or suggestion of a candidate.

Executed this 18 day of April, 1980, at Santa Cruz, California.

Timothy J. Morgan  
TIMOTHY J. MORGAN

State of California )  
County of Santa Cruz ) SS

On this date, APRIL 18, 1980, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public for the State of California, Timothy J. Morgan, who being first duly sworn, made the foregoing declaration and acknowledged his signature above set forth.



Jeff Bosshard

“WHY NOT THE BEST?”

ANDERSON  
for PRESIDENT

**“John Anderson can win when those people who belong with him have the courage to stand up and support his candidacy.”**

*former U.S. Senator Edward W. Brooke  
January 31, 1980*

Dear Congressman Anderson . . .

I want to help your campaign for the Presidency. Enclosed is my contribution of: ☐ \$25 ☐ \$50 ☐ \$100 ☐ \$250 ☐ Other

☐ I would also like to volunteer time for the campaign. Please contact me.

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_

Home Tel: \_\_\_\_\_ Bus. Tel: \_\_\_\_\_

Occupation & Employer's Name (This information is needed to comply with the Federal Election Campaign Act.)  
\_\_\_\_\_

Please make your check payable to The Anderson for President Committee.

Mail to: The Anderson for President Committee  
719 Eighth Street, S.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20003

The Anderson for President Committee  
719 Eighth Street, S.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20003

Bulk Rate  
U. S. POSTAGE  
PAID  
Washington, D.C.  
Permit No. 2180

ANDERSON  
for PRESIDENT

EXHIBIT A

0001719

9

78

3  
8  
7  
0  
1  
0  
0  
0  
5

LAW OFFICES OF  
**BOSSHARD, MORGAN & GLIDDEN**  
A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION  
2541 SOQUEL AVENUE  
SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA 95062



Federal Election Commission  
Office of the General Counsel  
1325 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20463

RECEIVED



# FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1325 K STREET NW.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

THIS IS THE BEGINNING OF MUR # 1211

Date Filmed 6/16/80 Camera No. --- 2

Cameraman SPE

0047192783